

# Common Mistakes in ESA BASS APQ Applications

## 1. Lack of Specificity

Problem: Applicants use vague terms like 'AI integration' or 'EO data usage' without specifying datasets, applications, or expected outputs.

Advice: Be concrete—explain exactly what will be built, tested, or integrated. Name datasets (e.g. Sentinel-2), EO providers, and anticipated benefits.

#### 2. Overinflated Market Sections

Problem: Too many market stats clutter the narrative.

Applicants often confuse TAM, SAM, and SOM or fail to connect the market to their actual product or traction.

Advice: Simplify. Start with a clear TAM/SAM estimate, explain your pricing, and show how you'll capture a portion of the market.



#### 3. Poor Connection to Space Assets

Problem: Applicants tick the EO/SatCom boxes but don't show real integration plans or technical understanding of those assets.

Advice: Clearly state how space assets will be used in the activity. Name EO missions (e.g., Copernicus), SatCom networks, or data providers involved.

### 4. Vague Implementation Plans

Problem: Workplans are generic or superficial. Applicants often submit inconsistent or unrealistic costings, and no real timeline or ownership of activities.

Advice: Treat this as a real project. Include key tasks, durations, responsibilities, and make sure costing/funding sections align.